UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4:23 - MJ - 70144 - MAG-1
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FILED
024mandias Troy Weltson Defendant(s).	FILED
Defendant(s).	JAN 102025
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on woll 10 25, the court excludes time under the Speedy CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Trial Act from 01 10 25 to 01 24 25 and finds that the ends of incremental portugation continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 10 19 0 Figure 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the property or law that it is unreasonable to expe	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of du	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for	ad taking into account the public interest in the prompt at sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first s' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 01 10 25	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attyrney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney